

State	Disproportionate Representation	Significant Disproportionality
Tennessee April 2009	<p>Disproportionate Overrepresentation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. relative risk ratio (RRR) and a weighted risk ratio (WRR) of 3.00 or higher; 2. student sub-group enrollments by race/ethnicity that are at least 5% of the district's total enrollment; and 3. a minimum Child Count of 45 students in the category examined 4. districts that meet the RRR and WRR criteria for underrepresentation ($\leq .25$) where the total N Count for the Target Disability was ≥ 45 and the student sub-group enrollment was $\leq 5\%$ with a N Count for that sub-group of ≥ 50 received a Compliance Desk Audit and, if indicated, received a focus monitoring to determine if the disproportionate representation was the result of inappropriate identification. <p>Disproportionate Underrepresentation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. relative risk ratio (RRR) and a weighted risk ratio (WRR) of 3.00 or higher; 2. student sub-group enrollments by race/ethnicity that are at least 5% of the district's total enrollment; and 3. a minimum Child Count of 45 students in the category examined, and 4. districts that meet the RRR and WRR criteria for underrepresentation ($\leq .25$) where the total N Count for the Target Disability was ≥ 45 and the student sub-group enrollment was $\leq 5\%$ with a N Count for that sub-group of ≥ 50 received a Compliance Desk Audit and, if indicated, received a focus monitoring to determine if the disproportionate representation was the result of inappropriate identification. <p>Note: The <i>n</i> of 45 is the <i>n</i> used for adequate yearly progress (AYP) for student subgroups in Tennessee's Approved NCLB Accountability Workbook.</p>	<p>Significant Disproportionality</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. relative risk ratio (RRR) and a weighted risk ratio (WRR) of 5.00 or higher; 2. student sub-group enrollments by race/ethnicity that are between $\geq 15\%$ to $\leq 85\%$ of the district's total enrollment; and 3. a minimum Child Count of 45 students in the category examined. <p>(Note: TN changed its definition of significant disproportionality for examination of FFY 2008 data. Previously the state used the same criteria for significant disproportionality as for overrepresentation. Additionally, in April 2008, TN revised criteria for disproportionate underrepresentation to mirror the criteria used to identify disproportionate overrepresentation)</p>